

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,731	TOWATA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason L. Savage	1775

All Participants:

(1) Jason L. Savage.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(2) Andrew Chow.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005

Time: 1:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Kawabe et al. (US 6,329,076)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Applicant that the claims contained allowable subject matter except that the cited prior art reference read on the limitation of a magnesium alloyed with calcium. Applicant gave permission to amend the claim by Examiner's Amendment to delete Ca from the claim and make and editorial correction..